

# Mark the Evangelist Futures Project

## *Addendum to documentation for congregational meeting June 19 2016*

### Summary of the property options

Options which have been rejected (either because not viable or suitable, or by congregational decision) are indicated in grey; continuing possibilities are indicated in normal text. (Option numbering is taken from previous and additional options documentation).

<b>Option</b>	<b>Label in documentation</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Retain the status quo: do nothing	Option 0	Likely not to be acceptable by congregation. Presbytery or Synod	Has not been formally rejected but does not deal with budget deficits or the eventual need to tend to UMC
<b>SELL WHOLE SITE OPTIONS</b>			
Sell the whole site and purchase or rent another site	Option 1	Viable	Still to be considered by the congregation; finances would restrict size of new site/location
Develop whole site then sell whole site, and purchase or lease new site	Option 2	Not viable	Does not meet Synod parameters for risk and returns
<b>PARTIAL SITE SALE OPTIONS</b> (the "b" options are the develop-before-selling variants)			
Sell 2/3 undeveloped site from manse to Queensberry, and fully renovate church and integrate our buildings	Option 3.1	Not financially viable	
Develop and sell two thirds of our site, followed by full renovation of UMC and integration with our other buildings	Option 3.2	Rejected by congregational decision	This was rejected by the congregation on May 1 2016, having been put as Proposal A
Develop and retain the whole site; renovate UMC and integrate our buildings	Option 3.3	Not financially viable	
Retain centre third of property (selling the rest), building a new church behind/incorporating the manse	Option 4*	Not acceptable on the basis of heritage and planning advice	

Hall and cottage-based accommodation (sell UMC and northern two thirds of property UNDEVELOPED)	Option 5a*	Currently being analysed for feasibility	
Hall and cottage-based accommodation (DEVELOP and sell UMC and northern two thirds of property)	Option 5b*	Not viable on the basis of high level assessment	
Minimal renovation of UMC with integration of buildings (sell northern two thirds UNDEVELOPED)	Option 6a*	Currently being analysed for feasibility	
Minimal renovation of UMC with integration of buildings (DEVELOP and sell northern two thirds)	Option 6b*	Currently being analysed for feasibility	
Retain church-cottage precinct with minimal renovation of the church and integration with the cottage (sell hall and northern two thirds UNDEVELOPED)	Option 7a*	Currently being analysed for feasibility	
Retain church-cottage precinct with minimal renovation of the church and integration with the cottage (DEVELOP and sell hall and northern two thirds)	Option 7b*	Not viable on the basis of high level assessment	

\*More detailed information regarding these options has not yet been circulated to congregational members

### Acronym Key

CTM	Centre for Theology and Ministry (Parkville)
MtE	Mark the Evangelist
MTEFP	Mark the Evangelist Futures Project
SEMZ	Our property consultants
SPOAR	Strategic Property Options Analysis Report
UCHM	UnitingCare Hotham Mission
UMC	Union Memorial Church